

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,583	12/31/2001	Kelan C. Silvester	P13478	4016
8791 7590 09/10/2004 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			EXAMINER	
			LE, DANH C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	, ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

'						
٠,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00 - 4 - 41 0	10/039,583	SILVESTER, KELAN C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANH C LE	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a communication of the provision of the pro	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	<u> December 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2001 in Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortext 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	s/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objective drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objective.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a least company of the periority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	r—1	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haymes (2001/0016489 A1).

As to claim 1, Haymes teaches a system comprising (figure 2):

- a cell phone (250) to provide a wireless connection;
- a locator to indicate an approximate location of the cell phone (paragraph 16, receive data from GPS system); and
- a directional indicator (paragraph 17, errors generator 320 and paragraph 5) coupled to the cell phone to guide a user along a route that reduces a probability of losing the wireless connection.

As to claim 2, Haymes teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the locator includes a global positioning system (paragraph 0022).

As to claim 3, Haymes teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the indicator includes an audio or video output device (paragraph 0036).

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 4, Haymes teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the indicator includes a map of the route (paragraph 0036).

As to claim 5, Haymes inherently teaches the system of claim 1, further comprising an antenna to receive guidance information used to guide the user along the route (figure 1, 120 with antenna symbol).

As to claim 6, Haymes the system of claim 5, wherein the guidance information includes an approximate location of a cell tower (paragraph 23, since the system controller 310 responds and informs the user of a best route and/or channels and for continual mobile service and or of areas along the route where communication coverage is questionable, the system inherently teaches an approximate location of the base station or a cell tower).

As to claim 7, Haymes the system of claim 6, further comprising a processor to compare the approximate location of the cell tower to the approximate location of the cell phone to determine the route (paragraph 5 and 36, the mobile device compares its position and a data base to avoid the dead zones in the trip).

As to claim 8, Haymes teaches a method comprising (figure 2):

providing a cell phone with a locator to indicate an approximate location of the cell phone (paragraph 16, receive data from GPS system); and

enabling the cell phone to provide directions to guide a user along a route that improves wireless signal strength (paragraph 36).

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 9, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, wherein enabling the cell phone to provide directions includes providing the cell phone with a display to indicate the route (paragraph 21).

As to claim 10, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, wherein enabling the cell phone to provide directions includes providing the cell phone with an audio or video output device to indicate a location of a nearest cell tower (paragraph 36, since the mobile device knew the dead zone in the trip route and changed the route having a reduced area of dead zone, the mobile should know a location of a nearest cell tower or base station).

As to claim 11, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, wherein providing the cell phone with the locator includes providing the cell phone with a global positioning system (paragraph 16, receive data from GPS system).

As to claim 12, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising enabling the cell phone to receive guidance information via an antenna and to use the guidance information to guide the user along the route (figure 1, 102, antenna symbol).

As to claim 13, Haymes teaches the method of claim 12, wherein the guidance information includes an approximate location of a cell tower (paragraph 23, since the system controller 310 responds and informs the user of a best route and/or channels and for continual mobile service and or of areas along the route where communication coverage is questionable, the system inherently teaches an approximate location of the base station or a cell tower).

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 14, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising enabling the cell phone to predict an initial route of the user and to redirect the user from the initial route to the route that improves wireless signal strength (paragraph 36).

As to claim 15, Haymes inherently teaches a system comprising: a processor (error generators);

an antenna to provide a wireless connection (figure 1, 120, antenna symbol), and a memory region including instructions that, if executed by the processor, cause the system to guide a user along a route that reduces a probability of losing the wireless connection (paragraph 35, a subset of data base resides on mobile device for manipulation and retrieval).

As to claim 16, Haymes teaches the system of claim 15, further comprising an audio or video output device and instructions that, if executed by the processor, cause the system to guide the user along the route by providing directional indications to the user via the output device (paragraph 21, 36).

As to claim 17, Haymes teaches he system of claim 15, further comprising instructions that, if executed by the processor, cause the system to compare an approximate location of a cell tower to an approximate location of the system to determine the route (paragraph 5 and 36, the mobile device compares its position and a data base to avoid the dead zones in the trip).

As to claim 18, Haymes teaches the system of claim 15, further comprising instructions that, if executed by the processor, cause the system to predict an initial

Art Unit: 2683

route of the user and to redirect the user from the initial route to the route that reduces the probability of losing the wireless connection (paragraph 0036).

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- A. Cotanis (US 2002/0042269 A1) teaches system and method for determining signal coverage.
- B. Bann (US 2002/0049064 A1) mobile telephone, mobile telephone system, and base station used therein.
- C. Schipper et al (US 6,038,444) method and apparatus for advising cellphone users of possible action to avoid dropped calls.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 703-306-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2683

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 21, 2004

DANH CONG LE PATENT EXAMINER